

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/676,362	09/29/2000	Donald J.K. Olgado	2601/P4/ISIM/COPPER/SB	2179	
32588	7590 12/10/2002				
	IATERIALS, INC.		EXAMI	NER	
	BLVD. M/S 2061 RA, CA 95050		WOOD, KIMBERLY T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3632	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/676,362

Applicant(s)

Olgado et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Kimberly T. Wood

Art Unit 3632



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period f	or Reply						
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	_					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX in the application to be	(6) MONTHS fr come ABANDO	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 7, 20	002		·			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 💢	Claim(s) 11-16			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-7, 17-19, and 21	·· <u>·</u>		is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 8-10 and 20			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	a	re subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)□	10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is: a) 🗆 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:							
	1. 💢 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receiv	ved in App	lication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachm	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Imtamile	Summer IDTC	0.413) Paper Nois			
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_		0-413) Paper No(s)			
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/676,362 Page 2

Art Unit: 3632

This is the second office action for serial number 09/676,362, entitled Stable Cell Platform, in response to Amendment A filed on October 7, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 17-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hussman 2,064, 751. Hussman (figures 1-3, and 8) discloses a lower mainframe (30); a upper mainframe (28 and 20) comprising a rigidifying plate (28) with aperture (near 27), a main base plate (20) with a plurality of recesses (23); a dampener system (near 34 between 46) comprising a plurality of axially extending support members (see figure 3, and 106); and a fastener structure (84). The applicant has not positively claimed the cell therefore claims 4, 5, and 6, are inherently met since the platform is capable of supporting various cells.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-16 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/676,362 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 8-10 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 7, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., semiconductor processing cells) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/676,362 Page 4

Art Unit: 3632

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly Wood whose telephone number is (703) 308-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. The fax number for an Official Amendment or Response is (703) 872-9326. The fax number for an Official After Final .

Amendment or Response is (703) 872-9327.

Kimberly Wood Primary Examiner December 6, 2002 KIMBERU WOOD PRIMARY EXAMINER